

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		), 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8644		
10/667,899			09/23/2003	Akiharu Miyanaga	07977-254003 / US3823D1D1			
	26171	7590	12/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	FISH & F	UCHARD	SON P.C.	VU, DAVID				
	1425 K ST	REET, N.V	W.					
	11TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	WACHINGTON DC 20005 2500				2010	2010		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
			. 99	MIYANAGA ET AL	<b>-</b> .					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit						
		DAVID V	IJ	2818						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a				dress					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
Disposition of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	· <u> </u>									
Applicati	on Papers									
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>										
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/246014.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment	k(s)									
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/23/03</u> .	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		0-152)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U. S.C. 101.

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,624,455. This is a double patenting rejection.

١,

Application/Control Number: 10/667,899 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U. S. C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richart et al. (US

Pat. 5,427,963, herein after Richart).

Regarding claim 1, Richart discloses in figs. 16-18 a semiconductor device having a

plurality of MOSFETs where a source region 64/66, a drain region 64/66 (N-type) (col. 7, lines

3-5& 22-24) and a channel forming region are formed in a semiconductor P-type substrate 48

(col. 6, line 1-2), respectively, wherein impurity regions 42 (P-type) of a conductive type

opposite to that of source region 64/66 and drain region 64/66 (col. 6, lines 12-14) are disposed

locally in a channel width direction on a junction portion of drain region within channel forming

region.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1798. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. If attempt to

or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Vu

December 11, 2004.